Interview	Summary

Application No. 08/951,630 Applicant(s)

VANCE ET AL.

interview Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit Frantzy Poinvil 2768	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe	rsonnel):	
(1) Frantzy Poinvil	(3)	
(2) Christopher Day		
Date of Interview Aug 2, 2000	-	
Type: ☐Telephonic Æersonal (copy is given to a	oplicant ap∰icant's representative)	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes	3. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement _was reached. Was not reached.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-93</u>		
Identification of prior art discussed: Schoolery et al		
invention. The Examiner indicates that the different claimed Examiner suggests claimed language to applicant to specific also to specifically recite funcitons being unassistedly perform	ally recite interactions of the different compute	rized systems, and
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendm the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	of the amendents which would render the clair	vould render ms allowable
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE TH 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already be INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBST.	the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONS E SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Meen filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH	PEP Section
<ol> <li>Since the Examiner's interview summary above (inclueach of the objections, rejections and requirements to claims are now allowable, this completed form is conficient of the objection. Applicant is not relieved from providing is also checked.</li> </ol>	hat may be present in the last Office action, an isidered to fulfill the response requirements of t	id since the the last
		ED /
	F PF	RANTZY POINVIL

**Interview Summary** 

**ART UNIT 2768**